

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/630,591		Applicant(s) GATTUSO ET AL.	
	Examiner Brigitte R. Hammond		Art Unit 2833	

All Participants: (1) Brigitte R. Hammond. (3) _____

(2) Wei Te Chung. (4) _____

Date of Interview: _____ **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
USC 35 102/103

Claims discussed:
1

Prior art documents discussed:
Binns 6,137,298, Goodwin 6,545,879, Conroy 6,741,089, 6,162,066

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicant's representative agreed to amend the claims to define over the prior art and to make claim unobvious. Applicant's representative also agree to cancel claims 39-43 in view of prior art to advance prosecution.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)